

Additional Assessment Comments on Missing 12 Pages of Maureen Churnside Submission

Submission Issue/Summary	Planning Comment/Response
The intended use of Trail 43/2 needs to be clarified. Will the western end of this Trail from Milligans Road be used for the development?	The proposed security fencing for the quarry precinct would prevent any access to/from Milligans Road using the western end of Trail 43/2. Access from the eastern end of Trail 43/2 to Milligans Road is proposed to be for emergency access only. A condition has been recommended requiring the gate to be locked at all other times.
Final noise, dust, water, and environmental management plans should be submitted prior to the determination of the application.	<p>A Water Management Plan and Addendum have been submitted with the application and considered in the assessment.</p> <p>The general terms of approval issued by the EPA include requirements for the preparation and implementation of a flood management plan (condition 13), noise management plan (condition 31), and air quality management plan (condition 44). These plans would need to be submitted to the satisfaction of the EPA prior to the issue of an Environment Protection Licence for the quarry.</p> <p>The submitted EIS and Addendum EIS demonstrate that the proposal is capable of achieving the relevant emissions criteria.</p>
The proposed sediment and process water dam should be removed as part of the site rehabilitation.	<p>The objective of the site rehabilitation is to ensure that the land is returned to a stable landform that will not result in ongoing environmental harm, and is suitable for its intended future use.</p> <p>The proposed dam is not expected to result in any ongoing environmental harm and is located in a riparian area not suitable for future forestry operations. It is not considered necessary to require removal of the dam at the final closure of the quarry.</p> <p>The EIS indicates that the dam would be decommissioned only if a geotechnical assessment determines it to be a safety hazard.</p>
The EIS indicates that the proposed sediment and water process dam has previously been approved. Is this correct?	Council has no record of a previous approval for such a dam.
Portable toilets must be connected to a septic system approved by Council.	Agreed. The recommended conditions require a Section 68 application for installation and operation of an on-site wastewater management system.
Are crusher fines (dust) a product or waste?	If sufficient market exists at the time of extraction, the crusher fines would be sold as a product. Any excess stockpiles that cannot be sold would

	become waste. As noted in the assessment report, crusher fines would be considered suitable for use in the rehabilitation of the site.
The EIS indicates that there will be two extraction zones. This would double the impacts and should not be approved.	This comment relates to the areas noted 'Stage 1' and 'Future Stages'. There would not be two extraction zones operating concurrently. The recommended conditions include a requirement for rehabilitation of each stage to be completed prior to commencement of the subsequent stage.